

BIRMINGHAM CITY COUNCIL

**PLANNING COMMITTEE
23 APRIL 2009**

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE
PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD ON
THURSDAY, 23 APRIL 2009 AT
1100 HOURS IN COMMITTEE ROOMS
3 AND 4, COUNCIL HOUSE, BIRMINGHAM**

PRESENT:- Councillor Douglas Osborn in the Chair;

Councillors Beauchamp, Z Choudhry, Maureen Cornish,
Delaney, Fazal, Jones, Kooner, Linnecor, Sharpe, Smith,
G Sutton and Waddington.

PUBLIC ATTENDANCE

5513 The Chairman welcomed members of the public to the meeting, indicating that a leaflet had been circulated explaining how the Committee operated. He stressed that, because the Committee was a quasi-judicial one, no decisions had been made before the meeting.

5514 **APOLOGIES**

Apologies were submitted on behalf of Councillors Hendricks and I Ward.

CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

A. New Public Library

5515 The Chairman informed Members that a consultation event had already begun regarding the new public library and would run until 15 May 2009. The event was situated on the ground floor in Central Library from 1200-1400 hours on Monday to Friday.

B. Development at 82 Russell Road/123 Moor Green Lane, Moseley

5516 The Chairman advised that the Conservation Heritage Panel had considered an application for a development at 82 Russell Road/123 Moor Green Lane,

Moseley and had recommended, unanimously, that it be refused. In order for the application to be determined within the statutory time period, the Chairman advised that, under authority to act between meetings, the application had been refused in consultation with him.

MINUTES

In referring to Edgbaston Road - Land at 308-330 Pershore Road, and Off Constance Road, Warwickshire County Cricket Club, Edgbaston – S/05827/08/OUT (Minute No 5499 refers), the Assistant Director (Planning Management) made the following amendments:-

Page 547, Point 4, made by a supporter of the application, delete the words 'The proposed floodlights would not be as high as the current lights.' and insert 'The proposed floodlights would be significantly different from those in 2000.'

Page 549, Point 1, delete the words 'The proposed floodlights would not be any bigger than those currently used.' and insert 'The proposed floodlights would be smaller than the refused lights in 2000.'

Page 549, Point 2, delete the words '...already in existence.' and insert '...refused in 2000.'

5517

RESOLVED:-

That, subject to the above amendments, the Minutes of that part of the last meeting of the Committee open to the public be noted.

NOTIFICATION BY MEMBERS OF PLANNING APPLICATIONS THAT THEY CONSIDER SHOULD BE DETERMINED BY COMMITTEE

A. Planning Application No N/01620/09/FUL – Defence Estates HQ, Kingston Road, Sutton Coldfield

5518

Councillor Waddington requested that a report relating to the above planning application be submitted to a future meeting of the Committee in light of concerns regarding transportation and parking issues.

B. Planning Application No S/01378/09/FUL – The Bell Shopping Centre, 725 Bristol Road South, Northfield

5519

Councillor Delaney requested that a report relating to the above planning application be submitted to a future meeting of the Committee in light of concerns that the proposed railings would be over intensive and have an adverse impact on the street scene, bearing in mind the level of investment in the local area.

C. Planning Application No C/01428/09/FUL – 4 Cockshut Hill, Sheldon

5520 Councillor Jones requested that a report relating to the above planning application be submitted to a future meeting of the Committee in light of concerns that the development would be over intensive and have an adverse impact on the amenities of neighbouring occupiers.

D. Planning Application No C/01280/09/FUL – 180-182 School Road, Hall Green

5521 Councillor Jones requested that a report relating to the above planning application be submitted to a future meeting of the Committee in light of concerns that the development would be over intensive and have an adverse impact on the conservation area.

E. Planning Application No C/01633/09/FUL– 1 Whittington Grove, Stechford

5522 Councillor Jones requested that a report relating to the above planning application be submitted to a future meeting of the Committee in light of concerns that the development would be over intensive and have an adverse impact on the amenities of neighbouring occupiers.

F. Planning Application No N/00480/09/FUL – 33 Stoneyhurst Road, Erdington

5523 Councillor Sharpe requested that a report relating to the above planning application be submitted to a future meeting of the Committee in light of concerns that the development would be over intensive, have an adverse impact on the amenities of neighbouring occupiers and would have issues regarding parking.

PETITION(S)

A. Planning Application No N/00480/09/FUL – 33 Stoneyhurst Road, Erdington – Change of Use from a Builders Yard to an MOT Centre

A petition from Councillor Sharpe objecting to the proposed change of use from a builders yard to an MOT centre at 33 Stoneyhurst Road, Erdington, was received.

5524

RESOLVED:-

That the petition be referred to the Director of Planning and Regeneration.

B. Proposed Hot Food Takeaway – 164 Highfield Road, Hall Green

A petition from Councillor Smith objecting to the proposed hot food takeaway at 164 Highfield Road, Hall Green was received.

5525

RESOLVED:-

That the petition be referred to the Director of Planning and Regeneration.

REPORTS OF THE DIRECTOR OF PLANNING AND REGENERATION

The following reports were submitted:-

(See document No 1)

Planning Applications in Respect of the South Area

Report No 7 – Ravenhurst Road, Land Off (Site A), Moor Pool, Harborne – S/00872/08/FUL

The following addendum to the report was submitted:-

(See document No 2)

In referring to page 1 of the report regarding the allotment area, the Senior Constituency Planning Officer advised that the loss of area should read 20 and not 180 square metres.

Objectors spoke against the application and made a number of points including the following:-

1. The ecology and wildlife survey was not comprehensive and the development would have an adverse impact on local wildlife including bats, birds, newts and frogs.
2. The development would not comply with various planning guidelines and the UDP.
3. There would be a significant loss of open space.
4. The Victorian Society was concerned that the development would significantly alter the character of the area.

Planning Committee – 23 April 2009

5. The loss of garages would add to existing on street parking problems.
6. The garages should be refurbished rather than demolished.
7. The design of the houses would not be in keeping with the surrounding area.
8. If the Committee was not minded to refuse the application it was suggested that consideration be deferred pending further information.

A supporter spoke in favour of the application and made a number of points including the following:-

1. The applicant had taken two years to put together the proposal and he thanked planning officers for their help and guidance thereon.
2. The proposed development complied with all planning guidelines.
3. The applicant was keen to maintain the existing environment and character of the estate.
4. The existing garages detracted from the site. Replacement garages would be built.
5. In referring to the report, no objections had been received from appropriate Council directorates or other outside organisations such as the Environment Agency, subject to conditions being met.
6. The development would provide 12 good quality family homes that would be in keeping with the conservation area.

It was proposed by Councillor G Sutton and seconded by Councillor Delaney that consideration of the application be deferred for a site visit. Councillor Linnecor concurred with that suggestion.

5526

RESOLVED:-

That consideration of the application referred to in the report be deferred pending a site visit.

Report No 8 – Ravenhurst Road, Land Off, Moor Pool (Site A) – S/00869/08/CAC

It was proposed by Councillor G Sutton and seconded by Councillor Delaney that consideration of the application be deferred for a site visit. Councillor Linnecor concurred with that suggestion.

5527

RESOLVED:-

That consideration of the application referred to in the report be deferred pending a site visit.

Report No 9 – Ravenhurst Road, Land Off, and Garden Land at 146 Ravenhurst Road, Moor Pool (Site C) – S/00874/08/FUL

The following addendum to the report was submitted:-

(See document No 3)

The Senior Constituency Planning Officer wished to add new conditions to the recommendations set out in the report.

Objectors spoke against the application and made a number of points including the following:-

1. They reiterated points made in respect of report no 7 and considered that the proposals were inadequate.
2. The existing garages should be retained.
3. Concern was expressed regarding the proposed loss of trees, some of which had Tree Preservation Orders.
4. The information contained in the report with regard to the ecology of the site was incomplete and incorrect. A meeting was due to take place with English Heritage to obtain their opinion thereon.
5. It was suggested that consideration of the application be deferred in order to obtain further vital information.

A supporter spoke in favour of the application and made a number of points including the following:-

1. The application had taken approximately 14 months to compile and had included consultation with planning officers on the development to ensure that it complied with all guidelines.
2. The applicant wished to ensure that the quality of the environment and surrounding area was maintained.
3. Wildlife areas had been included in the proposed development.

It was proposed by Councillor Linnecor and seconded by Councillor Kooner that consideration of the application be deferred for a site visit.

5528

RESOLVED:-

That consideration of the application referred to in the report be deferred pending a site visit.

Report No 10 – Ravenhurst Road, Land Off, Moor Pool (Site C) – S/00870/08/CAC

It was proposed by Councillor Linnecor and seconded by Councillor Kooner that consideration of the application be deferred for a site visit.

5529

RESOLVED:-

That consideration of the application referred to in the report be deferred pending a site visit.

Report No 11 – Wentworth Gate, Land Adjacent No 7, Moor Pool (Site EI), Harborne – S/00873/08/FUL

The following addendum to the report was submitted:-

(See document No 4)

Objectors spoke against the application and made a number of points including the following:-

1. The points raised by the Victorian Society had not been properly taken into consideration.
2. The proposal would dominate the site and, therefore, the width of the house should be reduced.
3. The front gable should not be rendered.
4. The proposed doors and windows lacked detail and would not be in keeping with the surrounding properties.
5. Metal garage doors would be inappropriate and should be wooden.
6. No bat survey had been undertaken.
7. The proposed development lacked a master plan.
8. Many birds frequented the area, some of which were on the 'red list'.
9. A deferral of consideration of the report was requested in order to carry out a character appraisal of the estate.

10. A local family with a disabled child would incur problems regarding car parking and accessibility.

A supporter spoke in favour of the application and made a number of points including the following:-

1. A character appraisal had been carried out and was submitted to the local authority in January 2008.
2. The family with the disabled child had been consulted on the proposals to ensure that their requirements were met.
3. Even though the Victorian Society had raised concerns regarding the proposed development, none of the buildings in the surrounding area were of that period.

In response to a comment by Councillor Kooner, the Senior Constituency Planning Officer confirmed that he had spoken to the family with the disabled child on a number of occasions and he was satisfied that they had been sufficiently consulted and that the minibus that picked up and dropped off the child would still be able to get to the house even if cars were parked on both sides of the road.

Councillor Delaney proposed and Councillor Maureen Cornish seconded that consideration of the application be deferred for a site visit.

Councillor Maureen Cornish requested that a copy of the character appraisal be provided for Members' consideration.

In response to a question by Councillor Kooner, the Senior Constituency Planning Officer advised that the site was the smallest of the proposed development and would, therefore, have the least impact on wildlife. However, no bats had been present in the garages that would be demolished if the development went ahead.

5530

RESOLVED:-

That consideration of the application referred to in the report be deferred pending a site visit.

Report No 12 – Wentworth Gate, Land Adjacent No 7, Moor Pool (Site EI) – S/00871/08/CAC

Councillor Delaney proposed and Councillor Maureen Cornish seconded that consideration of the application be deferred for a site visit.

5531

RESOLVED:-

That consideration of the application referred to in the report be deferred pending a site visit.

**Report No 13 – 2 Devon Way, Longbridge Lane/Bristol Road South,
Longbridge Technology Park, Longbridge – S/00501/09/FUL**

The following addendum to the report was submitted:-

(See document No 5)

Councillors Beauchamp and Delaney welcomed the proposed development.

5532

RESOLVED:-

- (i) That consideration of the application be deferred pending the completion of a suitable legal agreement as set out in the report and amended below:-

Amended Recommendation 1 a)

An index linked contribution (from April 2008) of £145,485 towards the Longbridge Infrastructure Tariff, 50% of which is payable on first occupation and 50% payable at six months from first occupation.

- (ii) that, in the event of the above legal agreement not being completed to the satisfaction of the local planning authority by 29 April 2009, planning permission be refused for the reasons set out in the report;
- (iii) that, in the event of the legal agreement being completed to the satisfaction of the local planning authority by 29 April 2009, favourable consideration would be given to the planning application for the reason(s) set out in the report, subject to the conditions specified therein;
- (iv) that the Corporate Director of Governance be authorised to prepare and seal the appropriate legal agreement.

**Report No 14 – Montgomery Street, British Metal Treatments Ltd,
Sparkbrook – S/05634/08/FUL**

5533

RESOLVED:-

That temporary planning permission be granted for the reason(s) set out in the report, subject to the conditions specified therein.

Report No 15 – 5 Alcester Road South, Appetize, Kings Heath – S/00697/09/FUL

The Area Planning Manager (South) informed the Committee that an additional letter from Councillor Yaqoob in support of the application had been received. In response to a question by Councillor Jones, the Area Planning Manager (South) confirmed that the proposed opening hours referred to in the report were correct.

Councillors Jones and Kooner expressed concern that the opening hours could have an adverse impact on neighbouring occupiers and asked for the application premises to be targeted.

5534

RESOLVED:-

- (i) That temporary planning permission be granted for the reason(s) set out in the report, subject to the conditions specified therein;
- (ii) that the application premises be targeted.

Planning Applications in Respect of the North Area

Report No 16 – 105 Short Heath Road, Little Ripley Day Nursery, Erdington – N/00253/09/FUL

The following addendum to the report was submitted:-

(See document No 6)

The Area Planning Manager (North) informed the meeting that an e-mail from Councillors R Alden, Bennett and Compton had been received objecting to the application.

Objectors spoke against the application and made a number of points including the following:-

1. The day nursery would have an adverse impact on the amenities and neighbouring properties of the residential area.
2. There would be an increase in noise disturbance.
3. Traffic would be increased in the area and would create parking problems.
4. The site was too small for the proposed use.
5. The premises would overlook neighbouring properties.
6. An appeal for a nursery in 2002 was refused by the planning inspectorate.
7. There would be too many children for the size of the premises and site.

8. A site visit was requested.

A supporter spoke in favour of the application and made a number of points including the following:-

1. She had run day nurseries for 22 years.
2. Her day nurseries employed 120 staff, the majority of whom lived close to the nurseries at which they worked.
3. The nursery would provide employment for more local people.
4. There was a demand for more nurseries and she currently had a waiting list of children wishing to attend.
5. The number of children permitted to play outside at the nurseries had never been restricted in the past.
6. The nursery would only operate within permitted hours.
7. Many children who attended the nurseries lived in flats and benefited from playing outside.
8. She took a pride in her nurseries and all were maintained to a high standard.
9. She was very strict regarding litter and any waste disposal bins would be located out of view.
10. She had never had any problems with anti-social behaviour but, if necessary, would be willing to install CCTV cameras.

Councillor Linnecor considered that the nurseries did a 'grand' job but pointed out that it was important to take into consideration local residents' views and, therefore, proposed a site visit. Councillor Kooner seconded the suggestion of a site visit.

5535

RESOLVED:-

That consideration of the application referred to in the report be deferred pending a site visit.

Report No 17 – 981 Tyburn Road, Land Rear of, Erdington – N/00892/09/FUL

The Committee was advised that the application had been withdrawn from the agenda by the Director of Planning and Regeneration.

5536

RESOLVED:-

That it be noted that the application had been withdrawn from the agenda by the Director of Planning and Regeneration.

Report No 18 – Whitehouse Common Road, The White Horse PH, Sutton Coldfield – N/00887/09/FUL

The Area Planning Manager (North) advised that amended plans had now been received from the applicant and that, therefore, condition 7 set out in the report could be deleted. She added that the police had raised no objections to the application.

In referring to page 18 of the report now submitted, the Area Planning Manager (North) advised that the number of bedroom windows should be four not five.

Councillor Waddington expressed concern at the close proximity of the proposed extension to neighbouring occupiers.

In response to a question by Councillor Linnecor regarding the removal of trees, the Area Planning Manager (North) advised that a landscaping condition had been included in the recommendations.

In response to a question by Councillor Maureen Cornish, the Area Planning Manager (North) advised that officers were aware of the implications that the extension would have on the adjacent care home and, therefore, no windows would be included on that side of the building.

5537

RESOLVED:-

That planning permission be granted for the reason(s) set out in the report, subject to the conditions specified therein and amended below:-

Condition 7 deleted.

Amended Condition 10 – plan number changed.

Councillor Waddington wished to be recorded as having voted against the decision.

Report No 19 – 110 Summer Road, Erdington – N/00883/09/FUL

The Area Planning Manager (North) advised that an additional e-mail objecting to the application had been received.

Councillor Beauchamp welcomed the proposed retention of the timber fence.

5538

RESOLVED:-

That planning permission be granted for the reason(s) set out in the report, subject to the conditions specified therein.

Report No 20 – 255 Green Lane, Small Heath – N/00957/09/FUL

In response to a question by Councillor Linnecor regarding the location of the private hire taxi call office, the Area Planning Manager (North) advised that, subject to compliance with safeguarding conditions, the site use would be acceptable on a permanent basis.

Councillor Kooner, in referring to the report, pointed out that local residents had raised objections to the application on the grounds that there had been violent incidents and that the police had been to two assaults on the site in the last year.

Councillors Choudhry, Linnecor and Smith requested that the application premises be targeted.

5539

RESOLVED:-

- (i) That planning permission be granted for the reason(s) set out in the report, subject to the conditions specified therein;
 - (ii) that the application premises be targeted.
-

Report No 21 – Butlers Road, Handsworth Wood – Former Hamstead Lawn Tennis Club – N/01714/07/FUL

In response to a question by Councillor Kooner, the Assistant Director (Planning Management) advised that targeting would be done automatically.

5540

RESOLVED:-

That the Corporate Director of Governance be authorised to prepare and seal the appropriate Deed of Variation.

Planning Applications in Respect of the Central Area

Report No 22 – 207-217 Barford Street, Highgate – C/00332/09/FUL

Objectors spoke against the application and made a number of points including the following:-

1. The business appeared to grow every year.
2. The associated smells from the site were increasing.

Planning Committee – 23 April 2009

3. Proposed pens to hold animals were too small.
4. The proposals did not comply with EU regulations.
5. There was a severe lack of ventilation in the premises.
6. The building was not fit for purpose.
7. The access road was congested and lorries blocked the road whilst turning and reversing into the site.
8. The road would be inadequate should there be a larger number of deliveries per day.
9. There was a problem with the littering of animal products on the road and in the vicinity.
10. Other businesses and residential properties in the area were badly affected.

A supporter spoke in favour of the application and made a number of points including the following:-

1. The business had been established for many years and employed a substantial number of local people.
2. The business was highly regulated by numerous agencies such as DEFRA and complied with all hygiene regulations.
3. Sheep holding pens complied with size regulations.
4. The unloading point and the number of sheep would not change if planning permission was granted.
5. Plans proposed would allow more room for animals and promote good animal welfare standards.
6. Whilst floor space would increase under the proposed plans, the intensity and the number of animals passing through the site would remain unchanged.

Councillor Sharpe expressed concern at the rapidly growing premises and that further temporary consent was being recommended. He suggested that a monitoring report relating to the site should be provided.

Councillor Beauchamp concurred with Councillor Sharpe and added that the road used for access to the site was very unpleasant and was concerned that the number of lorries accessing the site would have an adverse impact on local traffic and roads.

Planning Committee – 23 April 2009

Councillor Maureen Cornish expressed her concern and requested information on the monitoring that had been undertaken.

Councillor Linnecor suggested that the business should consider relocating to a more suitable site and that further information should be obtained from the regulatory bodies that reviewed the site such as DEFRA to gauge their opinion on the proposals.

Councillor Kooner concurred with Councillor Linnecor and explained that the odour from the premises affected local residents who regularly registered their complaints whenever further planning permission was sought for the site.

Councillor Z Choudhry suggested that temporary consent be granted and that the premises be targeted.

Councillor Fazal supported that suggestion and advised that the site had been used as an abattoir since the 1960's.

Councillor Waddington expressed strong concern that such a site could operate in the City Centre.

The Transportation Officer explained that in order to formally monitor the premises it would be necessary to obtain information regarding deliveries.

The Area Planning Manager (Central) pointed out that DEFRA monitored the business and had been consulted regarding the proposed change of use.

It was proposed by Councillor Smith and seconded by Councillor Fazal that permission be granted on a temporary basis for 6 months, contrary to the request for 12 months temporary consent, and that the Committee be advised on a monthly basis regarding the results of monitoring.

Councillor Choudhry suggested that temporary consent be granted and that if results from monitoring were favourable that permanent permission be granted.

It was proposed by Councillor Beauchamp and seconded by Councillor Sharpe that the application be refused.

The Assistant Director (Planning Management) suggested and it was agreed that consideration of the application be deferred for further information and monitoring.

5541

RESOLVED:-

That consideration of the application referred to in the report be deferred for further information and monitoring.

Report No 23 – 246-248 Bordesley Green, Bordesley Green – C/06299/08/LDE

The Committee was advised that the application had been withdrawn from the planning register by the applicant.

5542

RESOLVED:-

That it be noted that the application had been withdrawn from the planning register by the applicant.

Report No 24 – St Vincent Street West, The St Vincent PH, Ladywood – C/00926/09/FUL

The Area Planning Manager (Central) advised that Transportation Development had no objections to the proposed change of use and that there were two additional conditions.

5543

RESOLVED:-

That planning permission be granted for the reason(s) set out in the report, subject to the conditions specified therein and amended below:-

New Conditions

7 - PLA230R9 CYCLESTO/CYCLEFAC

8 - PLA999

Before the development commences, an amended car park layout showing 2.4 metre wide by 4.8 metre long parking spaces shall be submitted to and approved by the local planning authority and marked out within the rear yard of the application site.

REASON:

In order to secure the satisfactory development of the application site.

Report No 25 – Oliver Street, Former Village Tavern PH, Nechells – C/00043/09/FUL

5544

RESOLVED:-

That temporary planning permission be granted for the reason(s) set out in the report, subject to the conditions specified therein.

Report No 26 – Icknield Square, Unit 1 City Trading Estate – Land Adjacent, Ladywood – C/00927/09/NOT

The Area Planning Manager (Central) advised that the total height of the proposed telecommunication mast would be 17.6m, comprising a 15m high mast and 2.6 metre high antennae. The equipment cabinet would be 1.2 metres high and 0.8 metres wide.

The Chairman pointed out that the proposed mast was situated on the edge of an industrial estate.

5545

RESOLVED:-

That no prior approval is required.

Report No 27 – 119 Holloway Head, George Nott House, City – C/00701/09/FUL

5546

RESOLVED:-

That planning permission be granted for the reason(s) set out in the report, subject to the conditions specified therein.

Policy Reports

Proposed Addition to the Local List of Buildings, Structures and Features of Archaeological, Historic and Architectural Interest and Proposal to Apply for Statutory Listing

The following report of the Acting Strategic Director of Development was submitted:-

(See document No 7)

5547

RESOLVED:-

That the buildings referred to in the schedule to the report now submitted be added to the Local List of Buildings of Special Architectural or Historic Interest at Grade A or B as indicated.

Appeal Decisions Received from the Planning Inspectorate in March 2009

The Assistant Director (Planning Management), in referring to the decision of the planning inspectorate regarding 18 Hobmoor Croft, Yardley, explained that a report would be submitted to a future meeting thereon.

5548

RESOLVED:-

That the schedule of appeal decisions received from the Planning Inspectorate in March 2009 be noted.

VISITS TO SITES IN CONNECTION WITH PLANNING APPLICATIONS

5549

The Chairman suggested, and it was agreed, that visits to sites in connection with planning applications take place upon the conclusion of the Planning Committee meetings on the following dates:-

1. 101 Birchfield Road – Former Bulls Head PH, Handsworth on 30 April 2009.
 2. 17 Victoria Road, Stechford on 14 May 2009.
 3. Ravenhurst Road, Land off, Moor Pool, Harborne and Wentworth Gate, Land Adjacent to No 7 Moor Pool on 21 May 2009 to include a public meeting.
 4. 105 Short Heath Road, Little Ripley Day Nursery, Erdington on 4 June 2009.
-

OTHER URGENT BUSINESS

The Chairman was of the opinion that the following items should be considered as matters of urgency in view of the need to expedite consideration thereof and instruct officers to act:-

A. Revenue and Capital Budget 2009/10

The following joint report of the Acting Strategic Director of Development and Corporate Director of Resources was submitted:-

(See document No 8)

5550

RESOLVED:

- (i) That the budget approved by City Council be noted;
- (ii) that the detailed revenue budget allocation for 2009/10 as shown in appendices 1, 4 and 5 of the report and the Capital Programme for 2009/10–2011/12 as shown in appendix 2 of the report for this Committee be approved;
- (iii) that the Long-Term Financial Strategy baseline budget for this Committee, as shown in appendix 3, be noted;
- (iv) that the efficiency programme for 2009/10, as shown in appendix 6, be approved;

Planning Committee – 23 April 2009

- (v) that the estimated employee numbers for 2009/10, as shown in appendix 7, be noted;
 - (vi) that the issues relating to the provision of services and the achievement of efficiency savings be noted and the further action required to manage them, as set out in paragraph 3.5 and paragraph 5 of appendix 1, be approved.
-

B. Whittall Street, Junction with Printing House Street, City – C/00877/09/NOT

The following report of the Director of Planning and Regeneration was tabled at the meeting:-

(See document No 9)

5551 **RESOLVED:-**

That no prior approval is required.

AUTHORITY TO CHAIRMAN AND OFFICERS

5552 **RESOLVED:-**

That the Chairman (or in his absence, the Vice-Chairman if appropriate) is hereby authorised to act until the next meeting of the Committee except that, in respect of the exercise of the Council's non-Executive functions, the appropriate Chief Officers are hereby authorised to act in consultation with the Chairman and that the Corporate Director of Governance be authorised to affix the Corporate Seal to any document necessary to give effect to a decision of the said officers acting in pursuance of the power hereby delegated to them; further that a report of all action taken under this authority be submitted to the next meeting and that such report shall explain why this authority was used.

EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC

5553 **RESOLVED:-**

That, in view of the nature of the business to be transacted, which includes the following exempt information, the public be now excluded from the meeting:-

Planning Committee – 23 April 2009

Agenda Item etc

Paragraph of Exempt
Information Under
Revised Schedule 12A
of the Local
Government Act 1972

Private Section of the Minutes of
Last Meeting

3

The meeting ended at 1254 hours.

.....
CHAIRMAN